REGIONAL MUNICIPALITY OF WATERLOO
CONSOLIDATED COUNCIL AGENDA

Wednesday, June 1, 2011
Regular Meeting 4:00 p.m.
REGIONAL COUNCIL CHAMBER
150 Frederick Street, Kitchener, ON

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Denotes Item(s) Not Part of Original Agenda</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>MOMENT OF SILENCE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>ROLL CALL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td>MOTION TO GO INTO CLOSED SESSION</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.</td>
<td>MOTION TO RECONVENE IN OPEN SESSION</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.</td>
<td>DECLARATION OF PECUNIARY INTEREST UNDER THE MUNICIPAL CONFLICT OF INTEREST ACT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.</td>
<td>PRESENTATIONS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.</td>
<td>PETITIONS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.</td>
<td>DELEGATIONS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*</td>
<td>a) John Erb Re: Invitation to Duke &amp; Duchess of Cambridge to Visit Waterloo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>b) Brenda Halloran’s Notice of Motion -Rapid Transit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>i) Andrew Dodds</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ii) Sean Simpson, Ipsos-Reid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>iii) Kevin Thomason, Waterloo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>iv) Dianne Ensing, Waterloo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>v) Tim Mollison, TRITAG.ca</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>vi) Greg Michalenko, Waterloo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>vii) Jan d’Ailly, Waterloo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>viii) Duncan Clemens, Kitchener</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ix) Paul Cyr, Kitchener</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>x)</td>
<td>Councillor Nicholas Ermeta, Cambridge</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

DELEGATIONS REGISTERED AFTER THE DEADLINE – 3 MINUTES EACH

9. MINUTES OF PREVIOUS MEETINGS

 a) Council – May 11, 2011
 b) Planning & Works – May 24, 2011
 c) Administration & Finance – May 24, 2011
 d) Closed Committee - May 24, 2011
 e) Community Services - May 24, 2011
10. COMMUNICATIONS

* a) City of Kitchener Re: Support for Rapid Transit Referendum

* b) City of Cambridge: 3 Resolutions from May 30, 2011 Council Meeting

11. MOTION TO GO INTO COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE TO CONSIDER REPORTS

12. REPORTS

Finance Reports

a) F-11-041, P2011-08 Janitorial Cleaning – Various Grand River Transit Locations

b) F-11-042, T2011-013 Rural Recycling and Resurfacing in the Townships of North Dumfries, Woolwich and Wellesley

Committee Reports

a) Planning & Works - attached & marked PS-110524

b) Closed Planning & Works – attached & marked CPS-110524

c) Administration & Finance - attached & marked FS-110524

d) Community Services - attached & marked SS-110524

Chief Administrative Officer

Regional Chair

* a) RC-11-003, Submission of Nomination for Lieutenant Governor’s Lifetime Achievement Award

Regional Clerk

a) Memo: Consideration for limiting delegations at the June 15 Council meeting

13. OTHER MATTERS UNDER COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE

14. MOTION FOR COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE TO RISE AND COUNCIL RESUME

15. MOTION TO ADOPT PROCEEDINGS OF COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE

16. MOTIONS

17. NOTICE OF MOTION

a) TAKE NOTICE THAT I, Councillor Brenda Halloran, intend to introduce the following Motion at the Council Meeting on June 1, 2011:

WHEREAS the Region of Waterloo has identified rapid transit as a factor in maintaining and improving quality of life within the Region;
AND WHEREAS a variety of technologies involving Bus Rapid Transit and/or Light Rail Transit have been identified as the most viable and beneficial options;

AND WHEREAS members of the public have voiced their concern about the cost and type of rapid transit;

AND WHEREAS members of the public have demanded an opportunity to make their opinions count;

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT the Council of the Regional Municipality of Waterloo:

1) direct staff to develop a question or questions to be put to affected electors within the Region of Waterloo in a referendum, asking electors to vote on their preferred rapid transit option between Option L3 (LRT from Conestoga Mall to Fairview Park Mall and aBRT from Fairview Park Mall to the Ainslie Street Transit Terminal) OR Option B10 (BRT from St Jacobs Market to Ainslie Street Transit Terminal).

2) direct staff to report to Council no later than June 29, 2011.

18. UNFINISHED BUSINESS

19. OTHER BUSINESS

20. QUESTIONS

21. ENACTMENT OF BY-LAWS – FIRST, SECOND & THIRD READINGS

a) A By-law to Amend By-law 11-015, A by-law to establish Fees and Charges for the Regional Municipality of Waterloo (Development of Lands at Airport, Grand River Transit Fares)

*  b) A By-law to Amend By-law 06-072, as amended, Being the Region's Traffic and Parking By-law (Removal of No Heavy Trucks, Fairway Road and Victoria Street; Centre Lane for Two-Way Left Turns, Westmount Road) (note: change of title)

 c) A By-law to Confirm the Actions of Council – June 1, 2011

22. ADJOURN
June 1, 2011

Ms. K. Fletcher  
Director, Council & Administrative Services / Regional Clerk  
Region of Waterloo  
150 Frederick St  
Kitchener ON N2G 4J3

Dear Kris:

This is to advise that City Council, at a special meeting held on May 26, 2011, passed the following resolution:

“That the City of Kitchener supports the Regional Municipality of Waterloo in holding a referendum for eligible voters in the Cities of Cambridge, Waterloo and Kitchener on the Rapid Transit Proposal.”

Yours truly,

R. Gosse  
Director of Legislated Services & City Clerk

*lk

c: L. Wetzel
June 1, 2011

Ms. Kris Fletcher, Regional Clerk
Regional Municipality of Waterloo
150 Frederick Street
Kitchener, Ontario
N2G 4J3

C09/ca

Dear Ms. Fletcher:

Re: Councilor Tucci – LRT/BRT Options
Councillor Ermeta – Full Public Transit for Seniors
Councillor Monteior – Referendum Concept

Cambridge City Council approved the enclosed resolutions at its Council meeting of May 30, 2011.

Yours truly,

Alex Mitchell
City Clerk

cc Councillor Ben Tucci
    Councillor Nicholas Ermeta
    Councillor Frank Monteiro
#132  Councillor Tucci – LRT/BRT Options

Whereas the City of Cambridge does not believe that the Region’s current LRT/BRT options offer sufficient benefit to Cambridge residents.

Whereas the City Of Cambridge believes that if an LRT option as we know them today is adopted for Kitchener and Waterloo and works as well as is projected, it will place the City Of Cambridge at an economic development disadvantage with the potential that we will likely never see the intensification purported to be a requirement for the proposed Phase 2 LRT extension to Cambridge;

Whereas it appears Aerorail can do everything that LRT can do and more, for less than the cost of BRT and would rank it as the least expensive of all other options being considered and would allow for the inclusion of Cambridge in Phase 1;

Whereas Aerorail is a form of LRT, and should be fully compliant with the requirements of the funding offered by the Provincial and Federal governments;

Whereas both BRT and LRT will require extensive reworking of roads, bridges, rail crossings, and buildings and Aerorail or similar technologies avoid nearly all reconstruction of existing infrastructure because they have the flexibility of being able to dock at grade or above grade;

Whereas BRT and LRT offer simple station designs on grade that are largely compatible with existing and planned urban environments, excepting noteworthy challenges around pedestrian and cyclist safety. Aerorail offers increased flexibility, stations that may be on/at/above grade, thus separating the transit infrastructure from automobile traffic, but maintaining flexible accessibility;

Whereas speed of both BRT and LRT are severely limited by the need to coordinate with on-grade traffic, Aerorail, being above grade, leaves more at-grade space available for commerce, and enables the transit system to operate at higher speeds without complications to at grade activities;

Whereas BRT can leverage existing infrastructure, but needs new dedicated lanes and LRT can use existing rail beds, but needs extensive infrastructure built. Aerorail integrates readily with existing buildings and road grid;

Whereas BRT can add buses and LRT can add trains, Aerorail can extend cars and/or add trains;

Whereas BRT and LRT are substantially incompatible with proposed routes either creating significant new traffic congestion (reducing existing road space) or significant new transit infrastructure costs. Aerorail simply flies over, and can span up to 600m (2000 ft) between support pilons;
Whereas BRT/LRT will create infrastructure construction jobs; Aerorail has potential to create manufacturing jobs too;

Whereas Aerorail could be operational within 6-9 months from approvals; BRT-LRT will require 2-3 yrs. Construction minimum;

Whereas BRT and LRT infrastructure construction will require extended road closures that will affect businesses adversely. Aerorail is much less disruptive and will not require road closures;

Whereas BRT/LRT infrastructure can be constructed with local trades, but components will all be purchased from elsewhere. Aerorail body shell is the only component that cannot be fabricated locally within SW Ontario;

Whereas BRT and LRT are fully proprietary, and tie us to one vendor, only infrastructure work can be bid. Aerorail body shell is proprietary, but all other components are based on common industry supply and can be bid competitively;

Whereas BRT and LRT are two common solutions implemented broadly in the transit industry: an industry where most systems operate at a loss and need to be forever tax subsidized. Aerorail, in contrast, represents an opportunity to achieve a transit system that pays for itself;

Whereas both BRT and LRT will need to integrate with on-grade traffic and make frequent stops in the downtown cores: they will not be able to be rapid, and will in fact be slower than existing GRT service. Aerorail avoids this entirely, and would be a truly rapid transit solution;

Whereas Aerorail is the most cost effective solution, can be constructed largely from components supplied locally, and is not encumbered by the same patents and proprietary relationships as either BRT or LRT: it can be fully serviced in the aftermarket at reduced cost.

Whereas Aerorail is innovative, is well suited to our region's reputation or being one of the smartest regions of the world, and can be built on pilot scale: all the benefits of Aerorail can be secured with minimal risk.

Therefore be it resolved that the Council of the City of Cambridge calls on the Regional Council to:

1. Deferred its June 2011 decision on the preferred BRT/LRT option.
2. Use the time line in the deferral to append the EA and add Aerorail or similar suspension based technologies as a form of Light Rail Transit and to re-visit the previously dismissed Aerorail option.
3. To conduct a feasibility study on this technology and related costs with a view to implementing a region wide Aerorail or other suspension based LRT system with a supporting aBRT system.
Failing which the Council of the City of Cambridge calls on the Regional Council to:

4. Abandon the LRT/BRT options and consider a much less expensive and all inclusive Region wide aBRT system and negotiate with the 2 senior levels of government the use of their funding for immediate Go Train service to Cambridge and improved Go Train service to Kitchener-Waterloo than that which is now proposed for the end of 2011.

Failing which the Council of the City of Cambridge calls on the Regional Council to;

5. Freeze the Cambridge portion of the budget and project (hold the funds for future) so that Cambridge tax payers be exempted from paying into the Regional Transit expansion projects as proposed (both LRT and BRT) if the decision is made to go ahead with one of the proposed LRT/BRT options.
THAT Cambridge City Council request the council of the Region of Waterloo to direct Waterloo Regional Staff to report back to Waterloo Regional Council on the pros and cons of allowing free public transit ridership for seniors and veterans;

AND THAT Cambridge City Council request the council of the Region of Waterloo consider approving free public transit for seniors and veterans once they have all of the necessary information before them;

AND THAT if full free public transit for seniors and veterans ends up being too costly for implementation then Cambridge City Council request the council of the Region of Waterloo consider approving a scaled down version such as free public transit for seniors and veterans during off peak times and/or on certain days of the week.
#133 - Councillor Monteiro – Referendum Concept

THAT we request that Regional Council defer their vote on the LRT until September 2011;

AND THAT we request that they support the referendum concept.
TO: Regional Chair Ken Seiling and Members of Regional Council

DATE: June 1, 2011  FILE CODE: F18-40

SUBJECT: P2011-08 JANITORIAL CLEANING - VARIOUS GRAND RIVER TRANSIT LOCATIONS

RECOMMENDATION:

THAT the Regional Municipality of Waterloo accept the following proposals from Domclean Limited for Janitorial Cleaning at the Ainslie St. terminal and Charles St. terminal in the amount of $521,447.54 and from Housekeepers of Canada for Janitorial Cleaning for the South Depot including Cambridge Centre Mall Washroom and Strasburg Rd. Depot in the amount of $288,727.69 for Proposal P2011-08 Janitorial Cleaning – Various Grand River Transit Locations at a total cost of $810,175.23 including all applicable taxes for a three year contract.

SUMMARY: Nil

REPORT:

Proposals were called for P2011-08 Janitorial Cleaning – Various Grand River Transit Locations commencing July 1, 2011 for a (3) three year contract with option to renew for (2) two additional (1) one-year periods. Proposals were opened in the presence of K. Gray, L. Ertel and J. McCarty.

List of Bids Received:

**Ainslie Street**

- Omni Facility Services, London, ON: $72,415.80
- Domclean Limited, Brantford, ON: $100,357.56
- Graffiti Removers, Kitchener, ON: $104,266.30
- Housekeepers of Canada, Kitchener, ON: $108,895.02
- JC Commercial Cleaning Svc., Drumbo, ON: $129,850.56
- Precise Janitorial Service, Cambridge, ON: $158,317.02

**South Depot including Cambridge Center Mall Washroom**

- Housekeepers of Canada, Kitchener, ON: $53,857.74
- Domclean Limited, Brantford, ON: $66,077.88
- Omni Facility Services, London, ON: $70,340.79
- JC Commercial Cleaning Svc., Drumbo, ON: $122,467.14
- Precise Janitorial Service, Cambridge, ON: $130,725.33

**Charles Street**

- Precise Janitorial Service, Cambridge, ON: $412,785.24
- Domclean Limited, Brantford, ON: $421,089.98
- Omni Facility Services, London, ON: $428,477.26
- Housekeepers of Canada, Kitchener, ON: $438,546.22
- JC Commercial Cleaning Svc., Drumbo, ON: $560,122.92
**Strasburg Road**  
Housekeepers of Canada  
Kitchener, ON  
$234,869.95  
JC Commercial Cleaning Svc.  
Drumbo, ON  
$236,147.40  
Domclean Limited  
Brantford, ON  
$250,412.52  
Omni Facility Services  
London, ON  
$267,461.38  
Precise Janitorial Service  
Cambridge, ON  
$270,866.43

Proposals were evaluated using pre-determined criteria as set out in the Request for Proposal (RFP) consisting of program requirements, quality assurance programs/risk management, organizational information, implementation plan, services available/added, value and price.

The proposals submitted by Domclean Limited and Housekeepers of Canada obtained the highest overall score based on the following:

- Excellent staffing and training strategies
- Allotment of more cleaning hours per week for each site
- New, well maintained equipment
- Well monitored key performance indicators, on-site supervision at each site
- Checked and favourable references provided

**CORPORATE STRATEGIC PLAN:**

This recommendation supports Focus Area 5.1 of the Corporate Strategic Plan and the objective to optimize the use of existing infrastructure and ensure it is adequately maintained.

**FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS:**

P2011-08 (3 year term)  
$810,175.23  
Less: Municipal Rebate of 86.48% of HST (11.24%)  
(80,587.34)  
Total  
$729,587.89

The Region’s 2011 approved operating budget provides $265,459 for janitorial services for the Grand River Transit locations included in this tender. The cost of this service based on six months with the existing providers and six months with the new contract will be $244,818.23 for 2011 after HST rebates (approximately $20,640.77 or 7.8% under budget). The lower than anticipated contract cost can be attributed to competitive pricing. Savings will be incorporated into the 2012 budget.

**OTHER DEPARTMENT CONSULTATIONS/CONCURRENCE:** Nil

**ATTACHMENTS:** Nil

**PREPARED BY:** C. Whitlock, Director, Procurement & Supply Services

**APPROVED BY:** L. Ryan, Chief Financial Officer
REGION OF WATERLOO
FINANCE DEPARTMENT
Procurement & Supply Services Division

TO: Regional Chair Ken Seiling and Members of Regional Council

DATE: June 1, 2011

FILE CODE: F18-30

SUBJECT: T2011-013 RURAL RECYCLING AND RESURFACING IN THE TOWNSHIPS OF NORTH DUMFRIES, WOOLWICH AND WELLESLEY

RECOMMENDATION:

THAT the Regional Municipality of Waterloo accept the tender of Capital Paving Inc. for Rural Recycling and Resurfacing in the Townships of North Dumfries, Woolwich and Wellesley in the amount of $4,945,166.82 including all applicable taxes;

AND THAT the Regional Municipality of Waterloo approve an increase in project funds of $91,519.35 including applicable taxes, from the Roads Rehabilitation Capital Reserve Fund.

SUMMARY: Nil

REPORT:

Tenders were called for Rural Recycling and Resurfacing in the Townships of North Dumfries, Woolwich and Wellesley and were advertised in the Record, Daily Commercial News and on the Region’s website. The tenders were opened in the presence of B. Wheildon, M. Halloran and J. McCarty.

The following tenders were received:

Capital Paving Inc. Guelph, ON $4,945,166.82
Steed & Evans Limited Heidelberg, ON $5,031,417.94
Cox Construction Limited Guelph, ON $5,272,660.59
*One vendor was disqualified

This contract includes the following work:

Asphalt recycling using the expanded asphalt process and asphalt overlay at the following locations:

- Regional Road 12 (New Dundee Road) from Trussler Road to Fischer–Hallman Road, Township of North Dumfries (project #5436)
- Regional Road 23 (Katherine Street) from Tribe Road to Waterloo/Wellington Boundary, Township of Woolwich (project #5457)
- Regional Road 31 (Kossuth Road) from Cober Road to approximately 270m east of Fountain Street, Township of Woolwich (project #5461)
- Regional Road 58 (Northumberland Street) from Greenfield Road to Alps Road, Township of North Dumfries (project #5467)
- Regional Road 14 (Moser-Young Road) from Weimar Line to Gerber Road/Notre Dame
Drive (project #5665)

Asphalt recycling, asphalt overlay and a slip around lane at Hilltop Drive at the following location:

- Regional Road 58 (Swan Street) from Hilltop Drive to Brant /Waterloo Boundary, Township of North Dumfries (project #5466)

Construction Schedule

Construction is scheduled to commence on or about June 27, 2011 with completion expected on or about September 30, 2011.

Traffic Restrictions

Two-way traffic will be maintained at most times during the asphalt recycling operations. Full road closures will be required for short durations in order to place the final surface course asphalt. During the full road closures access to local residences, businesses, emergency vehicles, and local intersection roadways will be maintained to the greatest extent possible. There will however be restrictions where paving operations are occurring directly at accesses and intersecting side roads.

The anticipated full road closures required and the proposed detour routes are summarized below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Closure Required for:</th>
<th>Detour</th>
<th>Time Period of Closure</th>
<th>Duration</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Regional Road 12 (New Dundee Road) from Trussler Road to Fischer–Hallman Rd., Township of North Dumfries</td>
<td>Surface Asphalt</td>
<td>Trussler Road to Roseville Road to Fischer-Hallman Rd.</td>
<td>7:00am-7:00pm</td>
<td>1-2 Days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regional Road 23 (Katherine Street) from Tribe Road to Waterloo/Wellington Boundary, Township of Woolwich</td>
<td>Surface Asphalt</td>
<td>Line 86 to Northfield Drive to Wellington Road 18 to Wellington Road 7</td>
<td>7:00am-7:00pm</td>
<td>1-2 Days*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regional Road 31 (Kossuth Road) from Cober Road to East of Fountain St., Township of Woolwich</td>
<td>Surface Asphalt</td>
<td>Fountain Street to Victoria Street to Shantz Station Road</td>
<td>7:00am-7:00pm</td>
<td>1-2 Days*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regional Road 58 (Swan Street) from Hilltop Drive to Brant /Waterloo Boundary, Township of North Dumfries</td>
<td>Surface Asphalt</td>
<td>Cedar Creek Road to Trussler Road to Brant/Waterloo Rd.</td>
<td>7:00am-7:00pm</td>
<td>1 Day</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Region Road 58 (Northumberland St.)</td>
<td>Surface</td>
<td>Cedar Creek Road</td>
<td>7:00am-7:00pm</td>
<td>1 Day</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Location Closure

**Required for:**
- from Greenfield Road to Alps Road, Township of North Dumfries
- Regional Road 14 (Moser-Young Road) from Weimar Line to Gerber Road/ Notre Dame Drive

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Closure Required for:</th>
<th>Detour</th>
<th>Time Period of Closure</th>
<th>Duration</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>from Greenfield Road to Alps Road, Township of North Dumfries</td>
<td>Asphalt</td>
<td>to Trussler Road to Brant/Waterloo Rd.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regional Road 14 (Moser-Young Road) from Weimar Line to Gerber Road/ Notre Dame Drive</td>
<td>Surface Asphalt</td>
<td>Gerber Road to Hackbart Road to Weimar Line</td>
<td>7:00am-7:00pm</td>
<td>1-2 Days</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Note: A full road closure will not occur on August 20-21 due to the Waterloo Regional International Air Show

A full road closure will not occur on July 11–22 due to conflicting construction constraints on the proposed detour route

### CORPORATE STRATEGIC PLAN:

Award of this contract is in accordance with the Region’s public tendering practices and meets Focus Area 6 (“Service Excellence”) of the Corporate Strategic Plan and specifically Strategic Objective 6.3 which is to ensure all Regional programs and services are responsive, efficient, effective and accountable to the public. In addition, implementation of this contract achieves Focus Area 5 (“Infrastructure”) of the Corporate Strategic Plan and Strategic Objective 5.1 which is to optimize the use of existing infrastructure and ensure it is adequately maintained.

### FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Contract T2011-013</td>
<td>$4,945,166.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regional Engineering</td>
<td>135,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pavement Marking</td>
<td>84,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Materials Inspection &amp; Testing</td>
<td>54,806.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Advertising and Printing</td>
<td>4,500.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Permits and Approvals</td>
<td>380.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sub-total</strong></td>
<td>$5,223,852.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less: Municipal Rebate of 86.46% of HST (11.24%)</td>
<td>(497,333.60)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>$4,726,519.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The Region of Waterloo’s approved 2011 Transportation Capital Program includes a budget of $4,635,000 available for the work included in this contract to be funded from the Roads Rehabilitation Capital Reserve Fund. Based on the lowest tender, the estimated cost of this work is $4,726,519.35 (approximately 2% over the budget source). The shortfall of $91,519.35 for this contract can be funded from other capital budget transfers or from available funds in the Road Rehabilitation Reserve Fund, all of which will be identified in the mid-year review of the 2011 Transportation Capital Program (currently scheduled for June 21, 2011 Planning and Works Committee Meeting).

The final date of acceptance for this tender is July 1, 2011.
OTHER DEPARTMENT CONSULTATIONS/CONCURRENCE: Nil

ATTACHMENTS: Nil

PREPARED BY: C. Whitlock, Director, Procurement & Supply Services

APPROVED BY: L. Ryan, Chief Financial Officer
THE REGIONAL MUNICIPALITY OF WATERLOO
PLANNING AND WORKS COMMITTEE

Summary of Recommendations to Council

The Planning and Works Committee recommends as follows:

1. THAT the Regional Municipality of Waterloo approve the following transit service improvements, effective Monday September 5, 2011, as described in Report No. P-11-053, dated May 24, 2011, and shown in Figures 1 and 3:

   - Increase the weekday frequency of service on Route 52 AINSLIE ST. in Cambridge between the Ainslie Street terminal and Fairview Park Mall via Coronation Boulevard and King Street from 30 minutes to 15 minutes;

   - Increase Route 61 CONESTOGA COLLEGE hours of service, including service to the new Cambridge campus;

   - Add an extra bus in the midday to improve schedule reliability and provide two-way evening service on the Columbia Street branch of Route 7;

   - Implement a new Fischer-Hallman limited stop express route operating Monday to Sunday, providing 15 minute peak and 30 minute off-peak frequency service;

   - Redesign Route 29 KEATS WAY as a two-way route connecting University of Waterloo with the large commercial centre at Ira Needles Boulevard and University Avenue, with the frequency of service increased from 30 to 10 minutes in the peak direction during peak periods and the provision of Saturday service; and,

   - THAT ROUTE 20 VICTORIA HILLS be reviewed following completion of the walkway improvements on Ingleside Drive to Fischer-Hallman Road with staff also reviewing stops that could be modified and/or removed elsewhere on Route 20 to achieve time parameters on Route 20;

   - AND THAT ROUTE 7E COLUMBIA be modified effective Monday September 5, 2011, as shown in Figure 5 of this report to establish route travel along King Street between Hickory Street and Columbia Street in the northbound direction and along Regina Street between Columbia Street and Hickory Street in the southbound direction.

2. THAT the Regional Municipality of Waterloo endorse the Greater Toronto Area (GTA) West Corridor and Niagara to GTA Corridor February 2011 Draft Transportation Development Strategies, as described in Report No. P-11-054, dated May 24, 2011;

   - AND THAT Regional Council formally request the Ontario Ministry of Transportation to confirm the Region of Waterloo’s participation in the forthcoming Provincial Active Traffic Management Study.

4. THAT the Regional Municipality of Waterloo extend, for an additional one year term, the existing agreements to permit the Southern Ontario Locomotive Restoration Society to operate a tourist train and locomotive restoration operation on the Waterloo Spur Railway owned by the Regional Municipality of Waterloo as described in Report CR-RS-11-029 /E-11-060 dated May 24, 2011 with such agreements to be to the satisfaction of the Regional Solicitor.

5. THAT the Regional Municipality of Waterloo amend Traffic and Parking By-law 06-072, as amended, to:
   - Remove from Schedule 21, No Heavy Trucks, Anytime Except 7:00 a.m. to 7:00 p.m. Monday to Saturday on Fairway Road (Regional Road 53) from King Street East (Regional Road 8) to River Road (Regional Road 56); and
   - Remove from Schedule 21, No Heavy Trucks, Anytime Except 7:00 a.m. to 7:00 p.m. Monday to Friday on Victoria Street (Regional Road 55) from Hazelglen Drive to Fischer-Hallman Road (Regional Road 58; in the City of Kitchener, as outlined in Report E-10-035.1, dated May 24, 2011.

6. THAT the Regional Municipality of Waterloo amend Traffic and Parking By-law 06-072, as amended, to add to Schedule 20, Centre Lane for Two-Way Left-Turns on Westmount Road (Regional Road 50) from 40 metres South of Father David Bauer Drive / Westcourt Place to 220 metres South of Father David Bauer Drive / Westcourt Place in the City of Waterloo, upon completion of the construction on Westmount Road as outlined in Report E-11-048 dated May 24, 2011.

7. THAT the Regional Municipality of Waterloo approve the following waste diversion program initiatives as outlined in Report E-11-054, dated May 24, 2011, which provide for the following:
   - Removal of the recycling charge for residential e-waste as of July 1, 2011;
   - Addition of televisions to the curbside collection and landfill electronic waste (e-waste) ban as of August 1, 2011;
   - Addition of a permanent drywall/gypsum wallboard diversion and recycling program; and
   - Direct staff to investigate the possibility of a shingle diversion and recycling program.

8. THAT The Regional Municipality of Waterloo give notice to affected residents in the Lloyd Brown settlement area in the Township of North Dumfries of the intention to pass the Fees and Charges By-Law, attached as Appendix A, pursuant to Report E-11-061, dated May 24, 2011.

May 24, 2011
THE REGIONAL MUNICIPALITY OF WATERLOO
PLANNING AND WORKS COMMITTEE

Summary of Closed Recommendations to Council

The Planning and Works Committee recommends as follows:

I. a) THAT the Regional Municipality of Waterloo approve, enter into an Agreement for, and execute all documentation pursuant to Section 30 of the *Expropriations Act* for the acquisition of lands for improvements to Trussler Road described as Part Lots 129, 133 and 134, German Company Tract, being Part 9, on Reference Plan 58R-16917, in the City of Kitchener, Regional Municipality of Waterloo from Robert E. Kieswetter, in trust for the sum of $64,500.00, plus associated costs to the satisfaction of the Regional Solicitor; and

b) THAT the Regional Municipality of Waterloo approve, enter into Agreements for, and execute all documentation related to, the acquisition of lands for improvements to Trussler Road described as Part Lot 1, Concession 1, Block A, being Part 1, on Reference Plan 58R-16917, in the Township of Wilmot, Regional Municipality of Waterloo from Elizabeth Goettling for the sum of $7,000.00, plus associated costs to the satisfaction of the Regional Solicitor;

May 24, 2011
The Administration and Finance Committee recommends as follows:

1. THAT the Regional Municipality of Waterloo approve the 2011 grants to Arts, Culture and Community Organizations as recommended by the Grants Committee and outlined in Report CC-11-001 and the attached Appendix 1 & 2 dated May 24, 2011, with the exception of the line items in Appendix 1 related to the Canadian Clay and Glass Gallery and to the Kitchener-Waterloo Art Gallery.

2. THAT the Regional Municipality of Waterloo provide no funding for the Canadian Clay and Glass Gallery and the Kitchener-Waterloo Art Gallery from the 2011 grants to Arts, Culture and Community Organizations.

3. THAT the Regional Municipality of Waterloo approve the transfer of the balance of funds from the 2011 budget for Arts and Culture grants, in the amount of $32,000, to the Region of Waterloo Arts Fund and that this transfer of funding be included in the base budget for the Region of Waterloo Arts Fund commencing in 2012. [CC-11-001]

4. THAT the Regional Municipality of Waterloo work in collaboration with the new Creative Enterprise Enabling Organization and area municipalities to review municipal funding for arts and culture in the Region and that the Region maintain its current approach and policies to arts and culture funding until such time as it undertakes any changes which may emerge from such a review and appropriate funding is in place. [RC-11-002]

5. THAT the Regional Municipality of Waterloo defer the Regional Development Charge By-law Review until 2012. [F-11-039]

6. THAT the Regional Municipality of Waterloo approve the selection of Tree of Life by Ernest Daetwyler as the artwork for the Sunnyside Campus as outlined in report CR-FM-11-013 dated May 24, 2011.

May 24, 2011
THE REGIONAL MUNICIPALITY OF WATERLOO
COMMUNITY SERVICES COMMITTEE

Summary of Recommendations to Council

The Community Services Committee recommends as follows:

1. THAT the Regional Municipality of Waterloo sends a copy of report PH-11-024 and correspondence to the Chief Coroner of Ontario, the Chief Medical Officer of Health of Ontario, and the Minister of Health of Ontario, recommending that at least one emergency department per regional hub be equipped with a completely safe physical environment for patients at risk of suicide and mental health professionals with the expertise to appropriately assess and manage such high-risk individuals, pursuant to Report PH-11-024, dated May 24, 2011;

   AND THAT the Regional Municipality of Waterloo forward a copy of report PH-11-024 to the Association of Local Public Health Agencies, the Waterloo Wellington Local Health Integration Network, Grand River Hospital, St. Mary’s Hospital, Cambridge Memorial Hospital and the Canadian Mental Health Association.

2. THAT the Regional Municipality of Waterloo request the Ontario Ministry of Health and Long-Term Care continue to fund the costs associated with the client eligibility process of Healthy Smiles Ontario until that process is centralized at the provincial level;

   AND THAT the Regional Municipality of Waterloo forward a letter with the recommendation and a copy of this report to the Association of Local Public Health Agencies (alPHa), as outlined in Report PH-11-025, dated May 24, 2011.


4. THAT the Regional Municipality of Waterloo enter into a funding agreement with the Resiliency Initiative Waterloo Region as outlined in SS-11-023, May 24, 2011;

   AND THAT the 2011 Operating Budget for Children’s Services be increased by $10,000 gross and $0 net Regional levy as outlined in Report SS-10-018.

May 24, 2011
TO: Members of Regional Council

DATE: June 1, 2011

SUBJECT: SUBMISSION OF NOMINATION FOR LIEUTENANT GOVERNOR'S LIFETIME ACHIEVEMENT AWARD

RECOMMENDATION:

That the Region of Waterloo nominate Peter Russell for the Lieutenant Governor’s Ontario Heritage Award for Lifetime Achievement.

BACKGROUND:

The Lieutenant Governor’s Lifetime Achievement Award was established in 2007 as part of the Heritage Ontario Recognition program to recognize volunteers for their contributions to heritage conservation in the areas of built, cultural and/or natural heritage for 25 years or more. The Ontario Heritage Trust now requires a resolution from Regional Council endorsing the nominee.

Staff has recommended that Regional Council endorse Peter Russell as the Region of Waterloo nominee for the Lieutenant Governor’s Ontario Heritage Award for Lifetime Achievement. Peter Russell has been active and contributed significantly to the promotion, knowledge base and conservation of our natural heritage for more than four decades.

Respectfully Submitted,
Ken Seiling, Regional Chair
Staff has received over 75 requests to register people for the upcoming public input meetings on Rapid Transit. In some instances, individuals are now requesting to register for June 15th as well. We have registered only one individual for the Council meeting on June 15th because this individual was not able to attend either public meeting.

Staff believes it was Council’s intent to hear delegations at the public meetings and restrict delegations at the Council meeting so a fulsome discussion of the issues can take place between Councillors.

This has been done in the past e.g. pesticides, ESLs, fluoride; however it has been usually accompanied by a Council resolution. Such a resolution would provide clear direction to staff and the public about Council’s intent. If Council wishes, the following motion could be passed at the June 1, 2011 Council meeting:

“THAT Council limits Rapid Transit delegations at the June 15, 2011 Council meeting to individuals who have not spoken at either the May 31 or June 1 Planning & Works Public Input meetings in order to provide a fulsome Council debate on the issue.”